UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/697,351	10/29/2003	Kenneth F. Buechler	36671-716.302	7522
	7590 11/17/200 cal Innovations / WSG	EXAMINER		
Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C.			ALEXANDER, LYLE	
650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/697,351	BUECHLER, KENNETH F.
Examiner	Art Unit

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears or	the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC	CATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
	: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the h appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later that	Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorteneset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than the may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee ed statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance	hereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
	or to the data of filing a brief, will not be entared because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further considera (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	ation and/or search (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form appeal; and/or	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	ponding number of finally rejected claims.
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See	e attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
non-allowable claim(s).	e if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided be The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.5 and 8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but befor because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and suffic was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	e or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered eient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcor showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and vertical entered afficient reasons.	ne <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	e status of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does See Continuation Sheet.	NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (PTO/S13. ☐ Other:	SB/08) Paper No(s)
	/LYLE A ALEXANDER/
	Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Upon further appeal and entry of the 9/16/09 amendments, the Office will vacate the 35 USC 102 rejections over Findlay et al. and Wu in favor of 35 USC 103 rejections similar to those of record (e.g. Findlay et al. or Wu in view of Oosta et al. stating it would have been obvious to include a second layer to promote capillary flow). Applicants' argue the cited prior art fails to teach the claimed "textured surface ... between 1nm and 0.5mm). The Office maintains the postion of record that it would have been inherent the cited prior art would have had surface imperfections that would meet the instant claims. Applicants also argue the cited prior art fails to the claimed "... antibodies of fragments thereof bind specifically to said one or more target ligands". The Office maintains the instant claim language is open and does not exclude additional binding steps that may be performed by the cited prior art and the instant language is sufficiently broad to have been properly read on the taught antibody/target ligand interaction of the cited prior art.